



Attorney Docket # 4211-10PUS

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Hubertus BURKHARDT et al.

Serial No.: 10/070,839

Filed: March 11, 2002

For: Enameled, Optically Brightened Printing Paper
and Method for the Production Thereof

Examiner: Halpern, Mark
Group Art: 1731

RECEIVED
AUG 27 2003
TC 171

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on
August 22, 2003
(Date of Deposit)

F. Brice Faller

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

F. Brice Faller
Signature

August 22, 2003

Date of Signature

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

SIR:

Responsive to the office action of May 22, 2003, reconsideration of the rejection therein is requested for the reasons following.

Claims 10-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as containing subject matter not described in the specification "in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention."

Amended claim 10 recites the limitation "an optical brightener arranged only at said outer side of said first coating layer and not throughout said first coating layer". The examiner apparently finds these limitations contrary to the statement at page 5 that the brightener